Phys2023 Wave Physics

February 2006

Overall, most marks were lost for

- not attempting all parts of a question
- accounts which were scant, inaccurate or lacking in rigour
- failure to read the question
- poor diagrams

Section A mean 16.1/20

A1 Transverse & longitudinal waves

mean 3.5

Well answered, though the final part generally showed little depth of thought. Note that it was possible to condense a good answer into 5-6 lines.

A2 Sinusoidal wave parameters

mean 3.2

Rarely gave fundamental difficulties, except that a fair number lost track of πs . A depressing minority through the speed to be given by dy/dx. Few gave any units for the wavenumber k.

A3 Huygens model of refraction

mean 3.2

Mostly well answered, though the diagrams were rather varied.

A4 Interference mean 3.1

Various degrees of clarity in the initial definition. Several referred to waves *interacting* which, in linear media, isn't true: their displacements *add*. Clearer diagrams would in several cases have helped avoid later mistakes. A few used the formula for the centre of the first interference *maximum*.

A5 Boundary conditions

mean 2.8

Most students could probably understand all this, so marks reflected the clarity of the answer. A handful confused boundary conditions with continuity conditions; as not all books make this distinction (which should however have been clear from context), marking was lenient.

(averages include those papers from which the question was omitted entirely)

Section B mean 23.5/40

B1 Waves on strings

42 attempts mean 13.8

Attempted by all students. Marks were generally lost by sloppiness and omissions. A few incorrectly interpreted the musical notation to imply the fourth harmonic of the string.

B2 Fraunhofer diffraction

3 attempts mean 9.7

Sloppy definitions of Fraunhofer diffraction, but otherwise well answered as far as attempted.

B3 Fourier transforms

2 attempts mean 18.2

Unpopular, but done very well.

B4 Dispersion

36 attempts score 9.5

Popular but, as usually attempted last, often incomplete. A minority demonstrated surprising confusion over the meanings of dispersion, phase velocity and group velocity.